

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/695,325		10/25/2000	Michael O. Okoroafor	1555A1	1203	
24959	7590	06/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PPG INDU			SERGENT, RABON A			
INTELLECT ONE PPG PI		OPERTY DEPT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURG		15272		1711	1711	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/695,325	OKOROAFOR ET AL.	OKOROAFOR ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rabon Sergent	1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT missions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 · SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, matter, is, a reply within the statutory minimum or y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	14 April 2004.					
	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) <u>59-71 and 75-102</u> is/are allowe Claim(s) <u>1-4,11,14-29,31-34,36,41-57 are</u> Claim(s) <u>5-10,12,13,30,35,37-40,58 and</u> Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration. d. <u>nd 72-74</u> is/are rejected. <u>103</u> is/are objected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents.	uments have been received. uments have been received le priority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage				
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) ∐ Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/695,325

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 14, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Dependent claims 72-74 are improperly drawn to methods; the claims from which they depend are drawn to photochromic articles.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 09/695,325

Art Unit: 1711

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-4, 11, 14-16, 19-21, 24-29, 31-34, 36, 41-44, 47-49, and 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cassidy et al. ('103).

Patentees disclose polythiourethane derived from the reaction of a curing agent amine and an isocyanate terminated prepolymer, wherein the prepolymer is derived from the reaction of a polythiol and a polyisocyanate. See abstract, columns 2-4, and columns 9-12.

5. Claims 17, 18, 22, 23, 45, 46, 50, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cassidy et al. ('103).

As aforementioned, patentees disclose polythiourethane derived from the reaction of a curing agent amine and an isocyanate terminated prepolymer, wherein the prepolymer is derived from the reaction of a polythiol and a polyisocyanate.

6. Patentees are silent regarding applicants' amines of claims 17, 18, 45, and 46 and the claimed degassing steps of claims 22, 23, 50, and 51. With respect to the former issue, the position is taken that the claimed amines were well known, conventional curing agents for polyurethane prepolymers at the time of invention; therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize them in their art recognized capacity as curing agents within Cassidy et al. With respect to the latter issue, the position is taken that the degassing of polymer forming reactants, prior to their reaction, was a conventional operation at the time of invention. Since it was well known that degassing serves to remove deleterious bubbles from the reactants, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to perform such an operation on the reactants of Cassidy et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,325 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

7. Claims 5-10, 12, 13, 30, 35, 37-40, 58, and 103 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Rabon Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

June 14, 2004